2021 USMS Legislation Committee Meetings

Committee Name:Legislation CommitteeSession #:N/ACommittee Chair:Charles CockrellVice Chair:Stephanie GauzensMinutes recorded by:Byron ShefchikDate/time of meeting:July 1, 2021 7:30PM ET

Actions Requiring Approval by the HOD:

1. N/A

Motions Passed:

- 1. Last meeting's minutes approved with minor changes
- 2. MSA to recommend L-4 as amended to the house of delegates passed unanimously
- 3. MSA to table L-3 was unanimous with the sense of the committee being we need USMS Legal input on the proposed changes.
- 4. MSA to table L-2 was unanimous with the sense of the committee being that more work is needed, including to be an indivisible package with changes made to 108.

Number of committee members present:	Absent:	Number of other delegates present:
Committee members present (list all, including chair and vice chair):		
Charles Cockrell		
Stephanie Gauzens		
Diana Dolan LaMar		
Kristof Kertesz		
Jane Moore		
Steve Peterson		
Doug Sayles		
Byron Shefchik		
Meg Smath		
Patrick Weiss		
Joan Campbell		
Becky Cleavenger		
Teddy Palmer		
Committee Members Absent:		
Jeff Enge		
Jell Elige		
Ex Officio: Mollie Grover		
EC: Chris Colburn		
Cheryl G from Elections		

Minutes

- L-4 506.2.3 Discussion the Legislative committee is trying to keep the rules to a minimum to maintain flexibility in policy, though there is also a need for clarity of intent. Policies still need to be approved by the Board of Directors so perhaps this is not necessary. If we reject this, it will be because we'd like the Elections committee to rule on policy rather than codify it. A reason for codifying it, the guidelines were interpreted by the elections committee while not seeking other input. This would keep the elections committee from interpreting in a way that's not in alignment with the original intent. Meg motioned/Patrick seconded to only make the change to 506.2.1. Amendment passed 8-4. Another vote for a change to 506.2.1 passed unanimously.
- L-3 awaits legal counsel/input on the proposal and proposed changes to it

• L-2 could be considered as a dual package with deletions to 202 and additions to 108 but the Rules committee did not align to that. The sense of the committee is we support the general intent but it should be reviewed for specific language and should be an indivisible package. Let's work offline between both committees to improve the recommendation.

Tasks/Next Steps

- 1. Next meeting will by 7/15 at 7:30 ET
- 2. Review and approve previous meeting's minutes.
- 3. Reconsider L-3
- 4. Reconsider L-2
- 5. Reconsider L-1

The committee was recessed at 9:03ET.